



DO YOU KNOW

that a

Dundee Suit

or

Overcoat

combines every feature of
the high-priced garment.
Style, fit and durability

UNION MADE

Our customers come back
—they are satisfied.

ORIGINAL \$15 TAILORS

2431 Hudson Ave.

By the Alhambra Theatre.

**NEPHI L. MORRIS IS
MOST PLEASING
SPEAKER**

"Prohibition is a condition, not a law," declared Nephi L. Morris in his address before a large audience at the Alhambra, Saturday night. He pleaded for the election of "dry" legislators, who would loyally support the governor in "removing alcohol from the state." He pledged his best efforts, if elected, "this year or next to bring about the absolute cessation of intoxication in the state of Utah. Whatever intoxicates will be prohibited, whether it be one per cent alcohol, or 1000 per cent."

Referring to the campaign charge that he is supported by the liquor interests, which have a lively anticipation of favors in return, Mr. Morris said:

"If they do support me, they cannot embarrass or compromise me, for I stand absolutely free as a child to serve the people as they wish to be served." He remarked later that perhaps some of the liquor men were supporting the Republican ticket in the hope of getting more honorable treatment. He said he was in favor

of giving them six months' grace to retire from business. As for the liquor men's enthusiasm in supporting him, he smilingly remarked that "new converts are often over-zealous." This evoked a general laugh.

He made it plain that he would give the liquor men a "square deal" and would expect in return their law-abiding co-operation. "There is no honorable evasion of the responsibility due on the part of the candidates," he said, "and we expect like treatment from them."

Avoids Personalities.
The candidate's only reference to campaign personalities was thus expressed:

"I have not found it necessary to have a millionaire mining man put up a \$10,000 bond guaranteeing my integrity on this question." He did not explain the apparent story involved.

"The Democrats would submit a constitutional amendment," said Mr. Morris, "and meanwhile the bootlegger would reign, making prohibition unpopular, robbing the law of the moral force necessary to its successful operation."

He scored the federal government for its participation in the liquor traffic, getting a billion dollars or more annually while the people pay the price in blood, tears and treasure, and the lives of 100,000 sons.

Denounces King Alcohol.

The thunder-rumble of the orator's bass voice, vibrating with intense emotion, evidently roused the keenest sympathy of the audience while he denounced king alcohol as the "great remover," removing decent clothing from the persons of the man and his family, furniture from the home, jewelry from the fingers and necks where it was placed as tokens of tenderness and love, smiles from the faces of loved ones, peace from the home, substituting discord and strife, removing character, health, prosperity, and taking annually the lives of 100,000 men. The man who taxes his charity, he said, is the one who makes money out of the weakness of men, blighting for sordid gain, the hopes and lives of multitudes.

This part of the nominee's speech was superbly composed and delivered with a fervor that seemed to touch every heart. He spoke with deliberation, his powerful voice thundering forth anathema upon the "traffic that dooms and degrades and piles taxes and miseries upon our people."

New "Prohibition" Idea.

The address was almost entirely free from campaign anecdote and humorous recitation. He told one quaint story of an old friend in San Pete who informed him of a new way to get practical prohibition. "Con-fist-icate all whiskey in the state," said the man, "and then distribute it according to the men's capacity to consume it." A Japanese in the audience (sitting beside a Standard reporter), who was fascinated by the speaker's eloquence, was completely captured by this story and enjoyed a paroxysm of mirth which lasted till the conclusion of the address. It appealed to his oriental idea of reform.

Proposes Aid to Farmers.

Mr. Morris' tribute to woman, in his remarks on home-building, was likewise eloquent and effective. He said he would, if he could, exempt from taxation to the extent of \$500 the small home; furthermore, that if elected governor he would set a market the bonds in which \$4,500,000 of the public land fund is invested and bring that money back and lend it to the farmers, who so sorely need it, at 4 per cent interest. They are now paying 8, 10 and 12 per cent, he said. This evoked vigorous applause.

Attack Upon Bamberger.

Herbert R. MacMillan of Salt Lake, following Mr. Morris, scored Simon Bamberger, the Democratic nominee, accusing him of being unfair to labor, of responsibility for the "Lagoon" resort, of insincerity, political inefficiency and disloyalty. From the absolute silence of the audience, it was evident that the attack fell flat. Even the small crowd of supporters of Mr. Morris were not in the least aroused by the attack, and he was plainly in no hurry to answer it. He said he was "flattered" by the Democratic state leader. After "disposing" of Mr. Bamberger, Mr. MacMillan plunged into the tariff question, uttering the usual campaign platitudes, whereupon many of the audience left.

Charles Kent, a campaign singer and mimic-pantomimist, evoked laughter by his grotesque antics as "court-joker" and melodious paraphrasing of several old songs.

The meeting was preceded by a "Grand Ogden parade," as Mr. Morris called it, led by Mayor A. R. Heywood and the gubernatorial nominee, Judge James A. Howell presided. The orchestra music entertained the audience while awaiting the arrival of the speakers.

CHIROPRACTORS MAKE REPLY TO THE DOCTORS

**Claim Physicians Admit They
Have Not a Legal Monopoly
on the Health of the
People of Utah.**

**EXPLAINS PURPOSES OF
THE CHIROPRACTORS**

**Will Endeavor to Have Law
Passed Establishing Plan
for People to Hire Whom
They Want.**

To Editor of The Standard:

Reference is made to the article published by the Ogden Medical association in your issue of Thursday afternoon. I like the spirit of this article. In it the medical doctors admit they have not a legal monopoly on the people's health, but that their efforts in using the people's tax money to prosecute my brother practitioners are aimed to protect the sick against "charlatans." I say I like the spirit of the article for it brings the question down to the merits of our science and the efficiency of its practitioners. That's the standard upon which all such public questions should be settled.

The article states "we had been accused of monopolizing all the business that comes within the scope of medicine and surgery." We claim those desiring medical and surgical aid should go only to the physician and surgeon. That is what they are trained in. However, we accuse them of trying to monopolize the people's health by claiming that "all methods of assisting the sick is the practice of medicine." This includes faith, healing, chiropractic and any other non-medical methods. Medicine is medicine and methods that employ absolutely no medicine, is non-medicine.

I ask, in a friendly spirit, for our medical physicians to explain to the people why they do not know chiropractic if it is the practice of medicine, as they advocate? If their contentions in the courts is right, that chiropractic is the practice of medicine, have they completed their course yet? Is it taught in our great medical schools. Who's authority as to what is medicine, our judges and prosecuting attorney, or our medical colleges?

They say we do not understand diagnosis, and are dangerous therefore. If it will be accepted in a friendly constructive attitude, I will challenge any medical doctor of our town to a public test on this question. 50 patients, not known to either of us present themselves before each of us and a committee, we to tell the patient his trouble, solely from examining the body, asking the patient nothing nor having him say anything. This is a public question and the public should be the judge.

They claim we are charlatans. That means a quack.

Today my brother, Benjamin Johnson, is confined as a victim to their unfair prosecution to the Sanpete county jail, and what for? For healing a deaf and dumb child without medicine, after a score of medical doctors told her she could never hear or speak. This case testified on the witness stand that my brother's chiropractic adjustment resulted in her obtaining her speech and hearing. And more than that, he has a woman now 42 years old who was born blind that now sees objects across the street. An insane girl, 10 years of age, absolutely insane, now manifests her normal senses, and I can produce affidavits to these cases. Does such work prove him a charlatan? Does he warrant being in jail for healing the deaf and dumb, blind and insane? You medical doctors put him there, and what for? You claim merit should be the deciding factor and that your usurpation of their tax money is not to monopolize business for you, but to protect the safety of the public's welfare.

The people's welfare is truly at stake, and these cases are vital evidence of that fact. The deaf and dumb

the blind and insane, are turned aside by medicine and then are demanded by the medical association to stay afflicted forever and they imprison the chiropractor who is healing them. Their safety is truly at stake.

The comment the article referred to on the efforts of the medical association to promote sanitary and health laws is fine. The chiropractor recognizes nature as the runner of the body, and she abhors insanitation. We chiropractors do promote and conform to these laws entirely. We also honor educational requirements. But our education lies not in dead languages nor foreign substances, or drugs, but in the understanding the living body.

A man is educated only to the extent he is useful. My brother now in jail healed the very cases all medical doctors said couldn't be healed. Which of the two is more educated? You plea for merits as the judging standard.

The article states also that the medical association objects to seeing a chiropractor of four months' schooling issued a medical license. And so does everybody. We do not see the justice of issuing a medical license to a chiropractor of any length of schooling. Medical licenses are for medical students only. And we further most strongly object to seeing a chiropractic license issued to a four months' chiropractic student. We are asking the legislature to pass a law creating a chiropractic examining board and that the requirements of chiropractic applicants in our state be placed at three years of six months each in a reputable chiropractic college. With such a course the chiropractic student covers more hours in his course than the medical student, eliminating the medical students' hours spent on dead languages and medicine.

Merit should be the judge. Let's compare them publicly, in a friendly attitude. Chiropractic will die if it is wrong; it will live if it is right.

We believe every citizen has the right to employ medical doctors if they so desire. We claim every citizen should also have the right to employ non-medical doctors if they so desire.

C. B. JOHNSON, D. C., Ph. C.
Chiropractor.
Suite 409-10-11 (fourth floor), Col.
Hudson Bldg.—Advertisement.

ELECTION RETURNS WITH FOX PICTURE

**June Caprice in "The Ragged Princess" at the Orpheum
Tomorrow Night Only
and Full Election
Returns.**

Manager Goss of the Orpheum theatre has arranged a special program of pictures for election night. The big feature for the night will be "The Ragged Princess," one of the newest and best of the Fox pictures, featuring June Caprice and Little Jane and Katherine Lee, the cleverest children in motion pictures. Arrangements have been made to receive both national and local election returns and these will be flashed on the screen between reels. "Tada Bara, in 'Romeo and Juliet,' will be shown Wednesday night only.—Advertisement.

A LETTER OF DENIAL

To the Public:

The report seems to be current that I am not a taxpayer in Weber County. I wish to correct this impression by stating that I own property in Weber County and therefore pay taxes in the County.

It also has come to my hearing that many of the voters are of the belief that I came to Ogden from another state and for this reason I should not receive the votes of the people of this county. I have always lived in the state of Utah, and for nearly three years in Ogden City, and it is here I expect to remain.

Very truly,
A. V. HUISS,
Democratic Nominee for
County Treasurer.
(Advertisement.)

**Election Returns will be
given from 7 to 8:30 at the
Alhambra tomorrow night and
between acts.**

MAN CATCHERS WHO HELP THE DOWN- AND-OUT

A new name for an employment agent was heard in the police court this morning, when Joe Doe Brett declared he would depart for one of the railroad camps as soon as he could talk to "one of the 'man-catchers' down by the railroad station." If the judge would give him a suspended sentence. He had entered a plea of guilty to a charge of drunkenness and the judge gave him an opportunity to get to work, first telling him that the city employed several "man-catchers" of a different type than the one he was seeking, who would get him a job for the city if he did not keep his promise to get out of town.

Mike Malone and Heber Eastman, old offenders, were each given a 10-day jail sentence for drunkenness. Hans Hansen, C. M. Witten, C. J. Sullivan and James Norton, who were also drunk when arrested, received a five-day sentence each.

Ten dollars bail money, left with the desk sergeant Saturday night by Michael McKeen and J. E. Ellis, was ordered forfeited for the city. These men were also booked for drunkenness.

**Election returns in addition to a
specially fine arranged program
Tuesday evening, Utah theatre.
Phone 1800.**

'You are Working' 'Not Fighting' 'ALIVE and HAPPY' 'Not Cannon Fodder' 'Wilson and Peace with Honor?' 'or' 'Hughes with Roosevelt and War'

Roosevelt says we should hang our heads in shame because we are not at war with Germany in behalf of Belgium:

Roosevelt says that following the sinking of the Lusitania he would have foregone diplomacy and seized every ship in our ports flying the German flag.

That Would Mean War!

Hughes says he and Roosevelt are in complete accord!

Senator Fall who has vast interests in Mexico and candidate Hughes's advisor as to Mexican affairs, declares "A Hughes war would be preferable to a Wilson peace."

Read the published list of heavy subscribers to the Republican campaign fund. Then look up the list of Americans with enormous interests in Mexico and learn why those who place the Almighty dollar ahead of human life would not hesitate to plunge this country into an ignoble war of conquest—would not stop at sacrificing thousands and thousands of American lives in their greed for oil and gold. Look again at this list. Then you will see why the "old Guard" appeals frantically for a protective tariff. That breeder of strikes, of starvation wages, and of every panic that ever cursed this country, and which made millions for organized wealth by putting honest competition out of business. You will see why, in sheer desperation, and bereft of all decency, the Republican "Old Guard" is capitalizing the lamentable incidents in Mexico and trying to discredit your president for sparing you the horrors that would come with intervention.

Read the list still again. Note the names of men heavily entrenched financially in the protective tariff system and in vicious special privileges. Read and see why millions are being spent to re-enact the Payne-Aldrich tariff—to wipe out the federal reserve system—to repeal the eight-hour law and other progressive laws to undo the Wilson record of unparalleled achievement. Then understand why candidate Hughes said in his Milwaukee speech: "The whole Democratic accomplishment must be wiped off the books for the good of the country."

"The Lesson Is Plain"

"IF YOU WANT WAR"

"Vote For Hughes"

"IF YOU WANT PEACE WITH HONOR"

"AND CONTINUED PROSPERITY"

'Vote for Wilson'

"The Tumult and the Shouting Die"

"IT IS UP TO YOU AND YOUR CONSCIENCE"

The Workingman's Problem

Let not the American workingman be led into a trap by an administration that pats him on the back for the sole purpose of getting his vote. This is a time when the intelligent workingman must think for himself—he must consider the future in the interests of himself and his family. The war feast of today, with its resultant high prices for the necessities of life, must not blind the laboring man to the famine that existed under this Democratic administration prior to the European war. The army of workers in Ogden have not forgotten that thousands of idle men were tramping across the continent, begging for bread—that the soup houses and charitable organizations were taxed to capacity in an effort to feed the hungry who had not the means to purchase food and shelter. Nor must these tollers be blind to the fact that they will face even worse conditions when the European war is ended if the Democrats should remain in control of the government, for our doors will be thrown open to a flood of cheap European competition that will not only reduce the wages of American workingmen, but force thousands of them out of employment, for unprotected industries cannot stand against the avalanche of cheap labor and cheap products shipped from countries in Europe that are prepared with merchant marines and men who must be employed at any price they can get.

Europe is already preparing for the reconstruction period that is bound to come. That country has become accustomed to the small pay of soldiers and the privations of families on meager incomes. Its thousands of munition plants have been constructed with a view to changing them over night into factories to supply the world's commerce. Europe must have cash. She must also feed the millions that come back from the war, and these men will be compelled to accept employment at any price they can get. The factories of Europe will be aided by their governments in flooding the American markets with the cheap products of pauper labor, for it is to this country they must turn for the cash. In addition to this cheap competition the demand for American goods in Europe will go glimmering. They will keep their money at home and buy their own cheap products.

What does all this mean to the American laborer? It means that there has never been a time in the history of this country when a protective tariff was such an absolute necessity. We will need it as a bulwark of preparedness against the industrial war that will be waged relentlessly by desperate European countries. It will mean that under the Wilson system the new industries of this country will die a natural death and old established industries will be badly crippled. And in a crisis of this kind the workingman is always the first to feel the pinch of poverty.

Let the laboring man figure this proposition out for himself. Let him compare conditions present and past and judge the Democratic and Republican parties according to past performances. Let the laboring man assemble the facts and decide solely on what it means to the future of himself and his family and he will not be caught with Wilson chaff. His vote will go for Charles E. Hughes, whose labor record is an open book of deeds and who stands as the representative of a party that has held this nation prosperous against all foreign competition. The workingman can solve this problem for himself.—Advertisement.